Bridge No. 43-007/13-000.22 BARS No.		j	0000000043A021	Bridge Design	No. 6237.0	
	IDENTIFIC		MATION			
SHPO Survey No. RT-0272	~	Owner	State Highway			
Local Name OTTERSLIDE TRUS	S	Status Extant - in service				
Other Local Name						
	LOCATIONAL A	ND SETTING II	NFORMATION			
District 03 County	Ritchie L	atitude 390812	00	Longitude	080554200	
Location 0.22 M EAST OF CR 22	U	TM-Northing				
Facility Carried By Structur COUNTY	ROUTE 7/13 U	TM-Easting				
		TM Zone				
Features Intersected MIDDLE FORK H		urrounding Lan				
			nent Rural - (undevo	eloped area outside	communities)	
	STRUCT	URAL INFORM	ATION			
Main Span Type Steel Truss - Pony/Rivete	ed	Struct	ure Length (ft)	101		
Main Span Type Code323		-	n of Maximum Sp	an (ft) 98		
Number of Spans in Main Unit 001			ge Daily Traffic	000020		
Number of Approach Spans 0000			ency Rating	0227 (abase)	Skew 00	
	BRIDGE DES		-			
Year Built 1913	Arrange					
Year Reconstructed		5	ivet/bolt			
Truss Bridge Type Pratt	Truss D	etails				
Alteration(s)		Date of Alte	rations (Year)			
Replacement of main members		1977				
Replacement of main members		1985				
Architectural Treatment(s)	-	Plate Text	RK BRIDGE COM	DANK VODU DA	1012	

	BRIDGE HISTORY					
Engineer or Designer	Builder or Fabricator York Bridge Company					
	is a typical example of a common configuration of the Pratt design.					

Bridge No.	43-007/13-000.22	BARS No.	43A021	Federal Bridge No.	00000000043A021	Bridge Design No.	6237.0			
NATIONAL REGISTER EVALUATION INFORMATION										

National Register Determination

Not Eligible

Reason Not Evaluated

National Register Determination Date 2013

This bridge is not eligible for the National Register under Criterion A as it does not have a significant association with an important historic transportation system, program, event, trend, or policy identified through contextual research and survey activities.

This bridge is not eligible for the National Register under Criterion C as it does not illustrate the evolution or transition of a bridge type or an important variation in design, fabrication, or construction of a bridge type. Additionally, it is not a distinguishable representation of a master's work and does not possess high artistic value as identified through contextual research.





 West Virginia Historic Bridge Inventory Form

 Form Prepared By
 Mead & Hunt and KCI

 Form Preparation Date
 2013